

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P11860PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US02/17270	International filing date (day/month/year) 31 May 2002 (31.05.2002)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 June 2001 (15.06.2001)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): IPC7; H04N 9/00; H04H 7/173, 7/16 and US Cl.: 725/9-22; 725/86-134		
Applicant INTEL CORPORATION		
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>3</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of <u> </u> sheets.</p>		
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</p>		
Date of submission of the demand 13 January 2003 (13.01.2003)	Date of completion of this report 16 December 2004 (16.12.2004)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer Chris Grant Telephone No. (703) 305-4700	

I. Basis of the report**1. With regard to the elements of the international application:***

- ☒ the international application as originally filed.
- ☒ the description:
pages 1-33 as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the claims:
pages 34-44, as originally filed
pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the drawings:
pages 1-12, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages NONE, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in printed form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages NONE
- ☐ the claims, Nos. NONE
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig NONE

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**1. STATEMENT**

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>2-49</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-49</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-49</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claim 1 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Graves (US Patent # 5,410,344).

Regarding claim 1, Graves discloses the program is broadcasted (column 3, lines 10-22). Graves discloses content headers (column 4, line 52-column 5, line 43), which meets the limitation on broadcasting content descriptors, which describe available content, to one or more clients.

Graves discloses the user can rate the program (column 6, line 53-column 7, line 36), which meets the limitation on receiving feedback from the one or more clients regarding the content descriptors.

Graves discloses the most preferred programs are stored (column 6, lines 17-52), which meets the limitation on refining a list of available content in response to the feedback and broadcasting content listed in the refined list of available content to the one or more clients.

Claims 2-49 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Graves.

Regarding claims 2-3, the limitations in claims 2-3 have been met in claim 1 lack of novelty. Graves lacks an inventive step of repeating operations for further descriptive content. That feature is notoriously well known in the art for providing more precise data to the user. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Graves to rebroadcast for further descriptive content in order to have more precise user data.

Regarding claims 4-10, the limitations in claims 4-10 have been met in claim 1 lack of novelty. Graves lacks an inventive step for the narrower portion, lesser cost, higher weight. Those features are notoriously well known in the art for optimizing content to users. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Graves to have the narrower portion, lesser cost, and higher weight in order to optimize the content that a user desires.

Regarding claims 11-12, Graves discloses the content is television programs (column 3, lines 10-53), which meets the limitation on video clip.

Regarding claims 12-49, the limitations in claims 12-49 have been met in claim 1 lack of novelty.

NEW CITATIONS

US 5,410,344 A (Graves et al) 25 April 1995, see column 2-10